RECOMMENDATIONS:

- Every final paper will be ready-to-be-published, translated into academic English, edited and has the IMRAD structure. (The introduction, methods, results, and discussion (IMRAD)
- I recommend that you do not use very large or landscape tables. Usually they are difficult to understand after the necessary processing - fit to page.
- Please check quality and insert the tables and figures in the text, in the right place, numbered, with a clear description.

ABSTRACT = 250 – 400 words that will include the main parts of the paper, with the strongest ideas and conclusions, (Introduction with Problem Statement and Approach, Material and Methods, Results, Discussion, Conclusions).

We do not accept works with an abstract of less than 250 words

Key words – 4 – 6 keywords. Do not repeat the words in the title, complete the title with new terms.

IMRD structure – FOR Experimental
(Introduction, Methods, Result, Discussion) it starts with the abstract and ends with conclusions.

Common mistakes and recommendations

INTRODUCTION – too short - does not substantiate the theme, does not clarify fully the current level of knowledge
The introduction is the BUSINESS CARD of the author or team Leave the first impression (the most important) Contains preliminary data on the subject - Context, overview, ends with questions, objectives, the directions of action of the authors The introduction creates the RESEARCH SPACE It makes scientific substantiation of the descriptors in the title and the keywords. CRITICAL ANALYSIS of the literature review - What is known about the extended topic ?, What are the shortcomings related to the topic addressed ?, What is the importance of addressing these gaps ?, What are the reasons and assumptions we want to start,?
- is based on essential aspects such as - scientific literature from the main flow of knowledge, updated on all components of the study, highlighting RELEVANT points
- establishes the CONTEXT AND THE NECESSITY of the work.

MATERIAL AND METHOD - too short to fulfill its purpose
M&M or the environment, the concrete material space in which the research is placed. Provides clear data of instruments and methods used in the research with an effect on the credibility of the resulting data. It is the part of the structure that provides readers with detailed instructions and the most accurate descriptions of how the research was performed. - Explain HOW all the RESULTS data were obtained. The PURPOSE of this part is to COMMUNICATE precisely the important elements REGARDING THE WORKING MODE that offers the possibility of those interested to reproduce the experimental conditions, which at a careful verification, will obviously lead to the same results as those declared.

BASIC FEATURE = Accuracy and transparency of communicating details that are part of research ethics

RESULT – The results section is where you report the findings of your study based upon the methodology you applied to gather information. The results section should state the findings of the research arranged in a logical sequence without bias or interpretation. The Results section should include the findings of your study and ONLY the findings of your study. The findings include:
- Data presented in tables, charts, graphs, and other figures (may be placed among research text or on a separate page)
- Report on data collection, recruitment, and/or participants
- Data that corresponds to the central research question(s)

DISCUSSION – too short to fulfill its purpose Discussion too short = irrelevant
The purpose of the DISCUSSIONS section is to interpret and describe the RESULTS, the significance of the findings made, in relation to what is known about the problem and to explain all the meanings and developments of NEW / ORIGINAL. - The DISCUSSIONS section should highlight the achievements and their practical application after studying the problem. - The DISCUSSIONS section will always be connected to the introduction through the formulated questions, research hypotheses, but also TO the studied literature and offers answers, explanations, or makes differences in counterweight with what has been achieved and what is proposed to be achieved practically research. DISCUSSIONS section - Clearly EXPLAINS how the study has developed and is developing as a logical succession in understanding the research problem, as a continuation of the Material and method section. The DISCUSSIONS section is therefore addressed to the audience / reader and NOT to the RESEARCHER (the research is considered completed in RESULTS = ACHIEVEMENTS) (here in the discussions it explains the significance of the results and we talk about WHERE and HOW it is used ???)

CONCLUSION
- insert a distinct Conclusion part, if NOT
- please review the conclusion part. IF It is too brief does not fully synthesize the results, utility, theoretical and practical contribution of the paper.

REFERENCES CRITERIA
1. the bibliographic references related to JPES. (use www.ufsupi.ro in the search menu to have access to keywords and articles published in JPES on the same topic or close. We will greatly appreciate if you cite those authors and works in your references)
2. quantitative criteria - minimum 25-30 references of which 15-20 in recent resources from the literature, not older than 1-5- years (2015-2020).
3. Qualitative criteria - theoretical and practical references specialized on the topic from the main data flow (WOS, SCOPUS) mainly cites studies from top journals
4. All references will be used in the text in APAstyle NAME,YEAR of publication NOT number[1,2,3]
5. Please cite your contribution in topic, your published articles and your own research Please cite previous scientific contributions in topic of all authors.
6. References will be in ENGLISH. Translate the title of the work into English and specify that it appeared in Russian, Polish, Spanish, etc.[In Polish]

Manuscript size:
- 4 - 6 pages is a normal sized manuscript in the editorial policy of JPES.
- 7 pages is acceptable and will not be extra charged, but over 8 pages will be charged - 10 euro per page (700 words per page, Times New Roman 10, single line spacing)

You have to check if there are additional costs

PLAGIARISM – This article exceeds the acceptance limit on plagiarism
Art 4 = 25% , Art 10 = 29%, Art 12 = 64% ?????, Art 13 = 47% ?????, Art 17 = 32%
The originality Report is attached for this articles to make it easier to reduce the red text. 15 - 20% is acceptable. For publication please try reduce below 20% - (mandatory)

Mandatory documents to be sent to the editorial office for each article

1. The ARTICLE in the final version - ready-to-be-published (no further changes will be possible, Please check all the details carefully)

2. PUBLICATION AGREEMENT  (SAMPLE)

   Manuscript title: ....................................................

   The corresponding author ...........................on behalf of co-authors here in signed, state that:

   a) I declare acceptance of publication terms, fee and publication requirements.

   b) I agree and accept that my data, name and surname, e-mail address, nationality, institutional affiliation will be stored and used online and/or reused for this purpose.

   c) I confirm that this article has not been published before in JPES or any other journal.

   Name and signature of corresponding author on behalf of co-authors:.............................................

3. COPYRIGHT TRANSFER STATEMENT with signature of all authors
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